

Interacting D2-branes in 10 dimensions and non abelian Born-Infeld theory

R. Gianvittorio, A. Restuccia, J. Stephany

*Universidad Simón Bolívar, Departamento de Física
Apartado 89000, Caracas 1080A, Venezuela.*

ritagian@usb.ve, arestu@usb.ve, stephany@usb.ve

Abstract

In this paper we extend the bosonic D -brane action in $D = 10$ obtained by duality from the $D = 11$ membrane wrapped on S^1 to an $SU(2)$ non abelian system. This system presents only first class constraints, whose algebra closes off-shell and generalizes the algebra of diffeomorphisms of the $D2$ -brane to include non abelian symmetry generators.

From the $SU(2)$ D -brane action, we also obtain the $SU(2)$ Born-Infeld theory by performing a covariant reduction to a flat background. This calculation agrees up to fourth order with the result obtained from the superstring amplitudes and gives an alternative approach to analyze non-abelian Born-Infeld theories.

1 Introduction

The abelian Born-Infeld (*BI*) [1, 2, 3] action was derived as the part of the open string effective action in an abelian background which depends on the field strength but not on its derivatives [1]. The *BI* action appears also as the effective action for the vector field on the world volume of a *D*-brane [2, 3] where the induced metric is taken to be Minkowskian.

For *n* parallel branes the gauge invariance group is extended to $U(1)^n$ which in the limit of overlapping branes is promoted to $U(n)$ [4]. In analogy with the abelian case the non-abelian Born-Infeld (*NBI*) action should be the string effective action in a non-abelian background or the effective action of the vector field in the *n*-brane configuration. But in this case the part of the action which depends on the field strength of the non-abelian vector field but not on its covariant derivatives is not unambiguously defined. This is because the relation $[\mathcal{D}_m, \mathcal{D}_n] F_{pq} = [F_{mn}, F_{pq}]$ allows to transform some terms with covariant derivatives into terms without derivatives. In Refs. [5, 6] Tseytlin suggested a natural prescription for defining the *NBI* action obtained by applying a symmetrized trace to the adjoint gauge group indices in the action defined by the replacement of F_{mn} in the *BI* action by a non abelian field strength (see Ref. [7] for an early discussion). With this prescription, the *NBI* lagrangian does not contain commutators of *F*'s as a result of being completely symmetric in all factors of *F* in each monomial $tr(F...F)$. The proposal reproduces exactly the full non-abelian open string effective action up to order four [8] since all other possible terms with covariant derivatives can be redefined away at this order. At order F^6 it was pointed in Ref.[9] that Tseytlin's *NBI* fails to reproduce the fluctuations spectrum computed in perturbative string theory [10].

More recently a different proposal to fix the ambiguities of the *NBI* action requiring the existence of certain BPS configurations was proposed in Ref. [11] and used to compute the action up to fifth order [12, 13] and sixth order [14]. The F^5 result was obtained in Ref.[15] from the open superstring 5-point amplitude and confirmed by an independent method in [16]. In Refs.[17, 18] the F^6 result was compared favorably with the string theory computations but no definite expression for the effective action up to sixth order has been established yet.

In order to analyze the *NBI* theory it is natural to work in 10 dimensions and to look at its origin on the superstring amplitudes. From the *NBI* in 10 dimensions one may then obtain all non-abelian *D*-brane actions, in a

particular gauge, in lower dimensions by dimensional reduction.

Another approach to this problem is to start from the membrane or supermembrane in 11 dimensions and obtain the geometrical NBI structure by considering the $D = 11$ object compactified on S^1 . The dual to this systems is a $D2$ brane in 10 dimensions which is manifestly invariant under the diffeomorphisms on the world volume. In each case the gauge field are of course abelian. The actions for the $D2$ brane obtained in this way agree both in the bosonic and in the supersymmetric case with the Dirac Born Infeld actions when a perturbative expansion on the field strength is valid. [19, 20, 21, 22].

It is accepted that two interacting D -branes in the limit when they coincide should be described in terms of $SU(2)$ gauge fields together with the transverse coordinates which also carry an index in the adjoint representation of the group and could be interpreted as noncommutative coordinates [4]. It can be argued that for consistency the system must be invariant under diffeomorphisms besides having the $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry. In order to describe this situation one may consider all possible extensions of the diffeomorphisms algebra which include the generators of $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry. If the extended algebra is realized in terms of first class constraints then there is a unique way to construct the hamiltonian of the theory, i.e just to take the linear combination of the first class constraints (this is so for any system invariant under diffeomorphisms). Finally if that hamiltonian arises as the canonical formulation of a covariant lagrangian one has succeeded in constructing a representation of the interacting system. We present in this work a description of this procedure in the low energy regime where the transverse coordinates behave as commutative ones, while the gauge fields are non-abelian.

The main point in the construction is the extension of the diffeomorphisms algebra to include the generators of the $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry. The realization is done using a set of first class constraints expressed in terms of the commutative transverse coordinates and the $SU(2)$ gauge fields. This realization in terms of these fields is unique. There could be other realizations of the algebra but they necessarily include other fields than the commutative coordinates and gauge fields. There exists also a covariant Lagrangian whose canonical hamiltonian is the unique one associated to the extended algebra.

In order to construct from this theory a NBI action we fix the gauge and reduce the theory to a flat background. The NBI action in 2+1 dimensions emerges as a perturbative expansion in terms of the curvature of the non abelian gauge field. We show the explicit calculations, for $SU(2)$,

till the sixth order on the curvature. The terms up to fourth order without derivatives or commutators of the curvatures may be rewritten in terms of a symmetrized trace of the Born-Infeld action. This is so because classical $D = 11$ supermembrane action reproduces the sigma-model one-loop contribution of the superstring Ref.[19].

The approach presented here appears to be generalizable to the supersymmetric case [24, 25, 26] where issues related to the κ -symmetry and the non commutativity of the embedding maps introduce different obstacles for the construction of the action. See also Ref.[27, 28] for a different approach for defining the *NBI* action based in general coordinate invariance.

2 Non-abelian interacting $D2$ branes

In d dimensions the $D2$ -brane is described in terms of the intrinsic three dimensional metric γ^{ij} , the space time coordinates X^m and a gauge field A_i^I defined on the world volume[21, 22, 29]. A natural extension for the action in the non abelian case is given by,

$$S(\gamma, X, A) = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3\xi \sqrt{-\gamma} \left(\gamma^{ij} \partial_i X^m \partial_j X^n \eta_{nm} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ij} \gamma^{kl} F_{ik}^I F_{jl}^I - 1 \right); (1)$$

$$m = 0, \dots, d-1$$

where F is the curvature of the $SU(2)$ connection A :

$$F_{ij}^I = \partial_i A_j^I - \partial_j A_i^I + f^{IJK} A_i^J A_j^K. \quad (2)$$

The dimension d of the target space is not relevant in the bosonic case although it would be in the supersymmetric extension. The canonical analysis may be done using the ADM decomposition of γ^{ij} given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ab} &= \beta_{ab} & \gamma^{ab} &= \beta^{ab} - N^a N^b N^{-2} \\ \gamma_{00} &= -N^2 + \beta_{ab} N^a N^b & \gamma^{00} &= -N^{-2} \\ \gamma^{0a} &= N^a N^{-2} & \gamma_{0a} &= \beta_{ab} N^b & a, b &= 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\beta^{ab} \beta_{bc} = \delta_c^a; \quad \sqrt{-\gamma} = N \sqrt{\beta}, \quad \beta = \det \beta_{ab}. \quad (4)$$

In terms of these variables the action is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\beta, X, A) = & -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3\xi N \sqrt{\beta} \left(-N^{-2} \dot{X}^m \dot{X}_m + 2N^a N^{-2} \dot{X}^m \partial_a X_m \right. \\
& + (\beta^{ab} - N^a N^b N^{-2}) \partial_a X^m \partial_b X_m - 1 + \frac{1}{2} (\beta^{ac} \beta^{bd} - 2\beta^{ac} N^b N^d N^{-2}) F_{ab}^I F_{cd}^I \\
& \left. - N^{-2} \beta^{ab} F_{0a}^I F_{0b}^I + 2N^{-2} \beta^{ac} N^b F_{0a}^I F_{bc}^I \right); \quad m = 0, \dots, d-1. \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

Introducing the canonical momenta P_m , Π^{Ia} and P_{ab} associated to X^m , A_a^I and β^{ab} with the canonical algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
\{X^m(\xi), P_n(\xi')\} &= \delta_n^m \delta^2(\xi - \xi'), \\
\{A_a^I(\xi), \Pi^{Jb}(\xi')\} &= \delta^{IJ} \delta_a^b \delta^2(\xi - \xi') \\
\{\beta^{ab}(\xi), P_{cd}(\xi')\} &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta_c^a \delta_d^b + \delta_c^b \delta_d^a) \delta^2(\xi - \xi')
\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and after some algebra we obtain the hamiltonian of our interacting $D2$ -brane system expressed in the form,

$$H = \int d^2\xi \left(\Lambda \Phi + \Lambda^a \Phi_a + A_0^I \varphi^I + \Sigma^{ab} \Omega_{ab} \right) \quad (7)$$

where Φ , Φ^a , φ^I and Ω_{ab} are the constraints associated to the symmetries of the $SU(2)$ interacting $D2$ -brane. The constraints are given by,

$$\Omega_{ab} \equiv P_{ab} \approx 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\Phi \equiv (P^m P_m + \Pi^2 + \beta \beta^{ab} \partial_a X^m \partial_b X_m - \beta + f^I f^I) \approx 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\Phi_a \equiv (\partial_a X^m P_m + \Pi^{Ib} F_{ab}^I) \approx 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\varphi^I \equiv -(\mathcal{D}_a^{IJ} \Pi^{Ja}) \approx 0 \quad (11)$$

where,

$$F_{ab}^I \equiv \varepsilon_{ab} f^I, \quad \mathcal{D}_a^{IJ} \equiv \partial_a \delta^{IJ} + f^{IKJ} A_a^K$$

and

$$\Pi^2 \equiv \beta_{ab} \Pi^{Ia} \Pi^{Ib}$$

These constraints generalize the primary constraints of the abelian case. The conservation of the primary constraints give rise to the secondary constraint,

$$\frac{\delta\Phi}{\delta\beta^{ab}} = 0, \quad (12)$$

which allows to determine β^{ab} in terms of the other canonical variables. The elimination of the canonical pair β^{ab}, P_{ab} allows the reduction of the action. The only constraint which depends on β^{ab} is Φ . All the constraints are now first class ones: Φ_a are the generators of spatial diffeomorphisms on the world volume and they do not depend on the target metric nor on the world volume metric β^{ab} and in this sense they are topological; Φ is the generator of temporal diffeomorphisms and is metric dependent. Because of (12), the algebra satisfied by (9), (10) and (11) is the same whether we replace the explicit expression of β^{ab} or consider it as an independent variable. The algebra satisfied by Φ_a, Φ and φ^I is

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Phi(\xi), \Phi(\xi')\} &= (C^{ab}\Phi_a(\xi) + C'^{ab}\Phi_a(\xi')) \partial_b \delta^2(\xi - \xi'). \\ \{\varphi^I(\xi), \varphi'^J(\xi')\} &= f^{IJK} \varphi_K \delta^2(\xi - \xi') \\ \{\Phi_a(\xi'), \Phi_b(\xi')\} &= \Phi_a(\xi') \partial_b \delta^2(\xi - \xi') + \Phi_b(\xi) \partial_a \delta^2(\xi - \xi') - \varepsilon_{ab} f^J \varphi^J(\xi) \delta^2(\xi - \xi'). \\ \{\Phi(\xi), \varphi^I(\xi')\} &= 0, \quad \{\Phi_a(\xi), \varphi^I(\xi')\} = 0. \\ \{\Phi_a(\xi), \Phi(\xi')\} &= (\Phi(\xi) + \Phi(\xi')) \partial_a \delta + C_a^I \varphi^I \delta^2(\xi - \xi'). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $C^{ab} = 4\beta\beta^{ab}$ and C_a^I is a function of (β^{ab}) . Since the structure coefficients depend on β^{ab} the algebra is open. We have thus constructed the canonical formulation associated to the original covariant action (2).

The expression for the Hamiltonian is closed if a solution of Eq.(12) is provided. In the next section we obtain a perturbative solution of Eq.(12).

We may consider now the inverse problem. Let us start from the constraints (10) and (11) which generate the spatial diffeomorphisms and $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry respectively together with (8) which express the fact that in the action there are no time derivatives of the auxiliary metric β_{ab} . The expression (10) is unique since it must be topological. The expression (11) is the usual Gauss constraint for a gauge field. If we ask for an extension of the abelian expression for Φ which together with (10) and (11) closes an algebra, we obtain a unique solution given by (9). The hamiltonian is then also uniquely defined and given by the linear combination of these first class constraints. Finally one may recognize the covariant action (5) as the one

whose canonical formulation give rise to the hamiltonian 7. This give thus a strong justification to consider the action (5) as the starting point.

3 The computation of β_{ab}

In order to construct the action explicitly we have to determine the solution for β . This can be done order by order in an expansion in terms of the momenta of the gauge fields. First we compute (12) obtaining,

$$\Pi^{Ia}\Pi^{Ib} + \beta\beta^{ab}\beta^{cd}\partial_c X^m \partial_d X^m - \beta\beta^{ab} - \beta\beta^{ac}\beta^{bd}\partial_c X^m \partial_d X^m = 0, \quad (14)$$

from where we get after contracting with β_{ab}

$$\Pi^{Ia}\Pi^{Ib} + \beta\beta^{ab} - \beta^{ab}\Pi^2 - \beta\beta^{ac}\beta^{bd}\partial_c X^m \partial_d X^m = 0. \quad (15)$$

After some calculations the determinant of (15) may be obtained. For $\beta \neq 0$ we have

$$\det(\Pi^{I\bullet}\Pi^{I\bullet}) + \beta - \Pi^2 = \det(\partial_\bullet X^m \partial_\bullet X^m), \quad (16)$$

where the $\bullet$ means a target space index $a = 1, 2$. From (15) and (16), and provided $\beta \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi^{Jc}\Pi^{Jd}\Pi^{Ia}\Pi^{Ib}\beta_{ac}\beta_{bd} + \Pi^2 [\det(\partial_\bullet X^m \partial_\bullet X^m) - \det(\Pi^{I\bullet}\Pi^{I\bullet})] \\ & - \beta (\Pi^{Jc}\partial_c X^m) (\Pi^{Jd}\partial_d X^m) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We finally obtain,

$$\beta^{ab} [\det(\partial_\bullet X^m \partial_\bullet X^m) - \det(\Pi^{I\bullet}\Pi^{I\bullet})] + \Pi^{Ia}\Pi^{Ib} - \beta\beta^{ac}\beta^{bd}\partial_c X^m \partial_d X^m = 0 \quad (18)$$

which allows to solve β_{ab} order by order in $\Pi^{Ia}\Pi^{Ib}$ using an iterative procedure. We also realize that the constraint Φ only depends on β_{ab} through β since we can express

$$\Phi = P^m P_m + f^I f_I + \beta \quad (19)$$

Thus we need only to evaluate β . In the abelian case $\det(\Pi^\bullet\Pi^\bullet) = 0$ and the exact solution for β is given then by,

$$\beta = \det(\partial_\bullet X^m \partial_\bullet X^m) + \Pi^c \Pi^d \partial_c X^m \partial_d X^m. \quad (20)$$

The exact closed expression for the constraint Φ for the abelian case is

$$\Phi \equiv P^m P_m + f f + \det(\partial_\bullet X^m \partial_\bullet X^m) + \Pi^c \Pi^d \partial_c X^m \partial_d X^m. \quad (21)$$

Returning to the non-abelian case we try a power expansion for β_{ab}

$$\beta_{ab} = g_{ab} + O_{1ab} + O_{2ab} + \dots \quad , \quad (22)$$

with the induced metric $g_{ab} \equiv \partial_a X^m \partial_b X^m$, and taking O_{nab} of order $2n$ in Π^{Ia} . We then find for β^{ab}

$$\beta^{ab} = g^{ab} - g^{ac} O_{1cd} g^{db} - g^{ac} O_{2cd} g^{db} + g^{ac} O_{1ce} g^{ef} O_{1fd} g^{db} + \dots \quad , \quad (23)$$

and for β ,

$$\beta = g + (\Pi_g)^2 - \det(\Pi^{I\bullet} \Pi^{I\bullet}) + O_{1ab} \Pi^{Ia} \Pi^{Ib} + O_{2ab} \Pi^{Ia} \Pi^{Ib} + \dots \quad (24)$$

where $g = \det(g_{ab})$ and $(\Pi_g)^2 = g_{ab} \Pi^{Ia} \Pi^{Ib}$. After some calculations we obtain the solution for the O_{iab} to order $i = 2$,

$$g O_{1ab} = g_{ab} (\Pi_g)^2 - g_{ac} g_{bd} \Pi^{Ic} \Pi^{Id} \quad (25)$$

$$g O_{2ab} = g_{ab} O_{1cd} \Pi^{Ic} \Pi^{Id} + 2g O_{1ac} g^{cd} O_{1bd} - 2g_{cd} \Pi^{Ic} \Pi^{Id} O_{1ab} \quad (26)$$

In the abelian case $O_{1ab} = 0$ and $O_{2ab} = 0$ and $\det(\Pi^{I\bullet} \Pi^{I\bullet}) = 0$ in agreement with the previous result.

4 Covariant Reduction of the interacting theory to a Non-abelian Born-Infeld Theory

In this section we perform a covariant reduction of the interacting theory action previously obtained to a NBI action. We start from the action

$$S = \left\langle P_m \dot{X}^m + \Pi^{Ia} \dot{A}_a^I - H \right\rangle \quad (27)$$

where the hamiltonian is given by (7). The field equations are

$$\dot{X}^m = 2P^m \Lambda + \Lambda^a \partial_a X^m \quad (28)$$

$$\dot{A}_a^I = \mathcal{D}_a A_0^I + \Lambda \frac{\delta \beta}{\delta \Pi^{Ia}} + \Lambda^b F_{ba}^I \quad . \quad (29)$$

Now we look for class of solutions to the constraints that are obtained when we impose $g_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$. We found them by considering,

$$\begin{aligned} X^0 &= \tau & X^a &= \sigma^a \quad a = 1, 2 \\ X^m &= 0 & P_m &= 0 \quad m \geq 3 \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Substituting this in Eq. (28) we obtain for the momenta

$$2P^0\Lambda = 1 \quad (31)$$

$$2P_a\Lambda + \Lambda_a = 0 \quad (32)$$

After imposing $\Phi_a = 0$ and $\Phi = 0$ we have

$$P_a + \varepsilon_{ab}f^I\Pi^{Ib} = 0 \quad (33)$$

$$P_0^2 = P_a^2 + f^I f^I + \beta \quad (34)$$

We replace all these relations in (27) and obtain

$$S = \left\langle P_0 + \Pi^{Ia}\dot{A}_a^I - \Pi^{Ia}\mathcal{D}_a A_0^I \right\rangle \quad (35)$$

From (29) we get F_{0a}^I and replacing into (35) we have

$$S = \langle \Pi^{Ia}F_{0a}^I - P^0 \rangle \quad (36)$$

where

$$P^0 = (\beta + f^I f^I + f^I f^J \Pi^{Ia} \Pi^{Ja})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (37)$$

We may now expand the action in powers of the non abelian field strength F 's. In order to do that we need to express the momenta Π_a^I in terms of the F_{0a}^I . For example to obtain the action to the fourth order in F 's we proceed in a recursive way, order by order, and we first write Π_a^I up to order three in the field strength

$$\Pi_a^I = P^0 F_{0a}^I - f^I f^J F_{0a}^J - 2F_{0a}^I F_{0b}^J F_{0b}^J + \varepsilon_{ac}\varepsilon_{bd}F_{0b}^I F_{0c}^J F_{0d}^J + 2F_{0a}^J F_{0b}^J F_{0b}^I + O^5(F), \quad (38)$$

but retaining P^0 only up to order two. The expansion of P^0 to order fourth gives

$$\begin{aligned} P^0 = & 1 + \frac{1}{2}F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I + \frac{1}{2}f^I f^I + \frac{1}{4}F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I f^J f^J \\ & - \frac{9}{8}(F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(F_{0a}^I f^I)^2 + \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_{ac}\varepsilon_{bd}F_{0a}^I F_{0b}^I F_{0c}^J F_{0d}^J \\ & + \frac{3}{2}F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^K F_{0b}^I F_{0b}^K - \frac{1}{8}(f^I f^I)^2 + O^6(F), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

The Lagrangian obtained up to fourth order by replacing in (36) (38) and (39) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L = & -1 - \frac{1}{2}f^I f^I + \frac{1}{2}F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I - \frac{3}{8}(F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{8}(f^I f^I)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(f^I F_{0a}^I)^2 + \frac{1}{4}F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I f^K f^K \\
& + \frac{1}{2}F_{0a}^I F_{0b}^I F_{0a}^K F_{0b}^K + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{ac}\varepsilon_{bd}F_{0a}^I F_{0b}^I F_{0c}^J F_{0d}^J
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

After eliminating all the terms with commutators of the kind $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}]F = [F, F]$ one obtains the Lagrangian for the NBI system up to the fourth order.

$$\begin{aligned}
L = & -1 - \frac{1}{2}f^I f^I + \frac{1}{2}F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I + \frac{1}{8}(F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{8}(f^I f^I)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(f^I F_{0a}^I)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The result obtained is manifestly covariant and can be written as

$$L = -1 - \frac{1}{4}F_{ij}^I F^{Iij} + \frac{1}{32}F_{ij}^I F^{Iij} F_{kl}^I F^{Ikl}. \tag{42}$$

This Lagrangian agrees with Ref. [6] once the dimensional reduction to $2+1$ is performed.

Following the same procedure we obtain the Lagrangian up to the sixth order

$$\begin{aligned}
L = & -1 - \frac{1}{2}f^I f^I + \frac{1}{2}F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I + \frac{1}{8}(F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{8}(f^I f^I)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(f^I F_{0a}^I)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{16}(F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I)^3 - \frac{3}{16}F_{0a}^I F_{0a}^I (f^J F_{0b}^J)^2 \\
& + \frac{3}{16}f^J f^J (f^I F_{0a}^I)^2 - \frac{1}{16}(f^I f^I)^3
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

There is no general argument implying that this classical result should agree to the sigma-model higher-loop contribution in string theory, however we think that the quantum deformation of the algebra we have obtained may provide the complete result.

5 Discussion

In this paper we showed that the bosonic D -brane action in $D = 10$ obtained by duality from the $D = 11$ supermembrane wrapped on S^1 may be extended to include $SU(2)$ non-abelian generators and that the corresponding algebra of first class constraints is completely consistent. This theory is formulated in terms of unconstrained fields (i.e without second class constraints) and hence is at the same level of consistency that the $U(1)$ D -brane theory. This is achieved without including non-commutative coordinates. We thus obtained a description of interacting D -branes theory in the low energy regime where the transverse coordinates behave as commutative ones, while the gauge fields are non-abelian.

From the $SU(2)$ D -brane action, we also obtained the $SU(2)$ Born-Infeld theory by performing a reduction to a flat background. The calculations were performed till the sixth power of the curvature and agree up to fourth order with the result obtained from the superstring amplitudes. This is so because the sigma-model one-loop calculation in the string theory is reproduced by the classical supermembrane. There is no general argument that this relation should be true for sigma-model higher-loop calculation, however we think that the quantum deformation of the algebra of the first class constraints that we have obtained should provide the complete result. It would be interesting to have new computations of the effective action at higher orders which could be compared with our results.

The complete understanding of interacting D -branes is still an open problem. In particular, the non-abelian generalization of the Born-Infeld theory still has unanswered questions. One of them is the role of the κ -symmetry in the supersymmetric non-abelian D -brane theory. In this direction, the next problem to be addressed is the corresponding supersymmetric theory for which our approach results very appropriate to analyze the role of kappa symmetry.

References

- [1] E.S.Fradkin and A.A.Tseytlin, *Phys. Lett.* B163, (1985), 123.
- [2] R.G. Leigh, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A4, (1989), 2767.
- [3] A.Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys.* B469 (1996) 51, hep-th/9602064.

- [4] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* B460, (1996), 335, hep-th/9510135.
- [5] A.Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys.* B501 (1997) 41, hep-th/9701125.
- [6] A.Tseytlin, *Born-Infeld action, supersymmetry and string theory*, in the Yuri Golfand memorial volume, ed. M.Shifman, World Scientific, Singapore,(2000) hep-th/9908105.
- [7] T.Hagiwara, *J.Phys. A:Math. Gen.* 14, (1981), 3059.
- [8] J.Dai, R.G.Leigh and J.Polshinski, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A4, (1989), 2073.
- [9] A.Hashimoto and W.Taylor, *Nucl. Phys.* B503 (1997) 193, hep-th/9703217.
- [10] M.Berkooz, M.Douglas and R.G.Leigh, *Nucl. Phys.* B480 (1996) 265, hep-th/9606139.
- [11] L.De Fosse, P.Koerber and A.Sevrin, *Nucl. Phys.* B603 (2001) 413, hep-th/0103015.
- [12] P.Koerber and A.Sevrin *J.High Energy Phys.* 0110 (2001), 3, hep-th/0108169.
- [13] P.Koerber and A.Sevrin *J.High Energy Phys.* 0109 (2001), 9, hep-th/0109030.
- [14] P.Koerber and A.Sevrin *J.High Energy Phys.* 0210 (2002), 46, hep-th/0208044.
- [15] R.Medina, F.T.Brandt and F.R.Machado *J.High Energy Phys.* 0207 (2002), 71, hep-th/0208121.
- [16] D.T.Grasso, *J.High Energy Phys.* 0211, (2002), 12 hep-th/0210146.
- [17] A.Sevrin and A.Wijns *J.High Energy Phys.* 0308 (2003), 59, hep-th/0306260.
- [18] S.Nagaoka, *Prog.Theor.Phys.* 110, (2003), 1219, hep-th/0307232.

- [19] P.K.Towswend, *Phys. Lett.* B373, (1996),
- [20] C.Schmidhuber, *Nucl. Phys.* B467 (1996) 146.
- [21] J.Ovalle, *Estudio de la teoría de supermembranas en D=11*, PhD thesis, Universidad Simón Bolívar, (2000).
- [22] I.Martín, J.Ovalle and A.Restuccia, *Phys. Lett.* B472, (2000), 77, hep-th/9909051
- [23] R. Gianvittorio, A. Restuccia and J.Stephany, *Rev. Mex. Fis.*, to appear
- [24] E.A. Berghoeff, A. Bilal, M. de Roo and A. Sevrin, *J.High Energy Phys.* 0107, (2001) 029, hep-th/0105274.
- [25] M.de Roo, *Phys. Lett.* B441, (1998), 185.
- [26] M.de Roo, *Fortsch. Phys.* 50, (2002), 878.
- [27] J.De Boer and K.Schalm, *J.High Energy Phys.* 0302 (2003), 41, hep-th/0108161.
- [28] J.De Boer,K.Schalm and J.Wijnhout, hep-th/0310150.
- [29] A.Khoudeir and Y. Parra, *Phys. Rev.* D58 (1998) 025010, hep-th/9708011.